# BEFORE THE OFFICE OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BOARD OF ELECTIONS AND ETHICS FRANK D. REEVES MUNICIPAL BUILDING 2000-14<sup>th</sup> STREET, N.W., SUITE 420 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009 (202) 671-0550

| IN THE MATTER OF                  | ) | DATE: September 26, 2003 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Shirley B. Arnett                 | ) | DOCKET NO.: 03F-167      |
| Youth Programs Officer            | ) |                          |
| Department of Employment Services | ) |                          |
| 502 – 11 <sup>th</sup> Street, NE | ) |                          |
| Washington, DC 20002              | ) |                          |
|                                   |   |                          |

### **ORDER**

#### **Statement of the Case**

This matter came before the Office of Campaign Finance (hereinafter OCF) Office of General Counsel following a determination by its Public Information Records Management Division, that pursuant to the D.C. Code §1-1106.02 (2001 Edition), Shirley B. Arnett, Youth Programs Officer, Department of Employment Services (DOES), failed to timely file, a Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before May 15, 2003 as required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 and also failed to file on or before the OCF sanctioned extended filing deadline of June 19, 2003.

By Notice of Hearing, Statement of Violations and Order of Appearance dated August 11, 2003, OCF ordered Shirley B. Arnett (hereinafter respondent), to appear at a scheduled hearing on August 22, 2003 and show cause why she should not be found in violation of the D.C. Campaign Finance Reform and Conflict of Interest Act of 1974, as amended by D.C. Official Code §§1-1101.01 et seq., and fined accordingly.

#### **Summary of Evidence**

OCF alleges that the respondent failed to timely file the statutorily required Financial Disclosure Statement for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.

On August 22, 2003, respondent filed an affidavit with OCF stating she filed an original Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF in July 2003. Respondent further stated her filing was delinquent because she confused OCF's Financial Disclosure Statement with the Confidential Statement of Employment and Financial Interests she is required to file with the General Counsel's Office of DOES. Respondent filed a second, fully executed Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF on August 22, 2003. Respondent is a Management Supervisory Service employee.

## IN THE MATTER OF: Shirley B. Arnett

Page 2

#### **Findings of Fact**

Having reviewed the allegations and the record herein, I find:

- 1. Respondent was appointed to the Management Supervisory Service.
- 2. Respondent was required to file a Financial Disclosure Statement with OCF for calendar year 2002, on or before June 19, 2003.
- 3. Respondent filed the required Financial Disclosure Statement on July 9, 2003 and August 22, 2003.
- 4. Respondent is a first-time FDS required filer.
- 5. Respondent provided a credible explanation for the filing delinquency in that she is a novice to the FDS filing requirements, and in that she confused OCF's Financial Disclosure Statement with the Confidential Statement of Employment and Financial Interests that she is required to file with her agency.
- 6. Respondent is currently in compliance with the statute.

### **Conclusions of Law**

Based upon the record provided by OCF, I therefore conclude:

- 1. Respondent violated D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02.
- 2. The penalty established at D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), and 3DCMR §§3711.2(aa), 3711.2 and 3711.4 for failure to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement required by D.C. Official Code §1-1106.02 is a fine of \$50.00 per day for each business day subsequent to the due date.
- 3. In accordance with D.C. Official Code §1-1103.05(b)(3), the respondent may be fined a maximum of \$600.00 for failing to timely file a Financial Disclosure Statement.
- 4. For good cause shown pursuant to 3DCMR §3711.6, the Director of Campaign Finance (Director) may modify, rescind, dismiss or suspend any fine.
- 5. Respondent's explanation for failing to timely file constitutes good cause for suspension of the fine.

| IN THE MATTER OF: Shirley B. Arnett Page 3                                    |  |
|---|--|
| Recommendation  |  |
| In view of the foregoing and inforecommend that the Director suspend the fine | rmation included in the record, I hereby in this matter. |
| Date Concurrence  | Jean Scott Diggs<br>Hearing Officer                      |
| In view of the foregoing, I hereby con  | cur with the Recommendation.                             |

Date

Kathy S. Williams

**General Counsel** 

# **ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR**

| IT IS ORDERED that the fine                      | e be hereby suspended in this matter.          |
|--|--|
| Date   | Cecily E. Collier-Montgomery<br>Director       |
| SERVE This is to certify that I have served a to | VICE OF ORDER rue copy of the foregoing Order. |
|  | Rose Rice Legal Assistant                      |

### **NOTICE**

Pursuant to 3 DCMR §3711.5 (1999), any fine imposed by the Director shall become effective on the 16<sup>th</sup> day following the issuance of a decision and order, if the respondent does not request an appeal of this matter. If applicable, within 10 days of the effective date of this order, please make a check or money order payable to the D.C. Treasurer, c/o Office of Campaign Finance, Suite 420, 2000-14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009.